

**AD-A267 002**



1288  
#10

**EDGEWOOD  
RESEARCH,  
DEVELOPMENT &  
ENGINEERING  
CENTER**

**ERDEC-TR-071**

**CHEMOSELECTIVE REACTIONS  
OF FUNCTIONALIZED PIPERIDINES**

**DTIC  
ELECTE  
JUL 19 1993  
S E D**

**G.H. Posner  
C.G. Cho**

**THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY  
Baltimore, MD 21218**

**H.D. Banks**

**RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY DIRECTORATE**

**May 1993**

**Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.**

**U.S. ARMY  
CHEMICAL  
AND BIOLOGICAL  
DEFENSE AGENCY**



**Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21010-5423**

**93-16164**



88

#### **Disclaimer**

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorizing documents.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE 1993 May	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final, 90 Jul - 92 Sep	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Chemoselective Reactions of Functionalized Piperidines			5. FUNDING NUMBERS PR-1L161102A71A	
6. AUTHOR(S) Posner, G.H; Cho, C.G. (The Johns Hopkins University); and Banks, H.D. (ERDEC)				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Department of Chemistry, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218  DIR, ERDEC,* ATTN: SCBRD-RTS, APG, MD 21010-5423			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER ERDEC-TR-071	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *When this work was performed, ERDEC was known as the U.S. Army Chemical Research, Development and Engineering Center, and the ERDEC author was assigned to the Research Directorate.				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT  Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The reduction-oxidation strategy for transformation of a cyano function into a carbomethoxy function was investigated as an alternative to the well-studied hydrolysis routes for viability with alpha-aminonitrile 2. Chemoselectivity problems were minimized when the reduction was effected with diisobutylaluminum hydride under inverse addition conditions.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS Alpha-aminonitrile Chemoselective reduction			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 15	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL	

**Blank**

## PREFACE

The work described in this report was authorized under Project No. 1L161102A71A, Research in CW/CB Defense. This work was started in July 1990 and completed in September 1992.

The use of trade names or manufacturers' names in this report does not constitute an official endorsement of any commercial products. This report may not be cited for purposes of advertisement.

Reproduction of this document in whole or in part is prohibited except with permission of the Director, U.S. Army Edgewood Research, Development and Engineering Center (ERDEC), \* ATTN: SCBRD-RT, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5423. However, the Defense Technical Information Center and the National Technical Information Service are authorized to reproduce the document for U.S. Government purposes.

This report has been approved for release to the public.

Accession For	
NTIS	CRA&I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC	TAB <input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced <input type="checkbox"/>	
Justification .....	
By .....	
Distribution /	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 5

\*When this work was performed, ERDEC was known as the U.S. Army Chemical Research, Development and Engineering Center, and the ERDEC author was assigned to the Research Directorate.

Blank

## CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION . . . . .	7
2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION . . . . .	8
3. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS . . . . .	12
4. CONCLUSIONS . . . . .	14
LITERATURE CITED . . . . .	15

Blank



# CHEMOSELECTIVE REACTIONS OF FUNCTIONALIZED PIPERIDINES


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Piperidines are an important family of organic compounds due in part to the fact that many of these compounds evoke powerful physiological responses. The substitution pattern of functional groups on the heterocyclic ring is intimately connected to the nature, potency and duration of the response. For example, profound analgesia is produced by administration of certain 4-substituted piperidines (for example, morphine), while a much weaker analgesia is produced by 2-substituted derivatives. It has been established that the reason for this difference is that the former compounds bind to the  $\mu$ -receptor, while the latter to the  $\kappa$ -receptor.

The isolation of morphine from opium by Sertürner in 1806 marked a major advance in the treatment of pain. With this purified substance in hand, reproducible administration could be accomplished, rather than depending on a qualitative assessment of the potency of a given sample of opium to determine the appropriate dose. In spite of this, morphine is far from an ideal drug. It has many side effects, most importantly respiratory and cardiovascular depression. Accordingly, the search for improved drugs has continued along two general paths. Additional analgesics have been found in natural sources, and ambitious research has been conducted to prepare synthetic compounds. The thrust in the second approach has been to prepare extremely potent compounds with the expectation that they would be extremely selective at low dosage for the  $\mu$ -receptors, producing the desired analgesia, but would leave unactivated those receptors leading to side effects. The selectivity of drugs for a particular receptor at low concentration may be likened to controlling the volume of noise made around a sleeping tiger. If the desired reaction is to have the tiger twitch his whiskers only, then it is wise to limit the noise level; too high a level will waken the tiger with all the untoward consequences.

In the 1960's 4-anilidopiperidines have been discovered that are more than three orders of magnitude more potent than

morphine.<sup>1</sup> The parent compound in this series, fentanyl, almost 300 times more potent than morphine in animal studies, had minimal cardiovascular depression, leading to its use in open-heart surgical procedures. Respiratory depression persisted with fentanyl, however. This result was nonetheless encouraging, and suggested that the overall strategy was valid. The search for the even more potent analgesics continued.<sup>2,3</sup> We have focussed our attention on improved syntheses of N-[1-(2-phenylethyl)-4-methoxycarbonyl-4-piperidiny]-N-phenylpropanamide, **1**, "carfentanil," which is one of the most potent of these materials.



**1**


Practical syntheses of **1** began with the  $\alpha$ -aminonitrile **2** generated from the reaction of commercially available 1-(2-phenylethyl)-4-piperidone with aniline and KCN. Optimization of conditions for this reaction led to yields of over 90%.<sup>4</sup> While this work was in progress, Feldman<sup>5</sup> reported a route to the 1-benzyl precursor to **1** through a hydantoin intermediate in an overall yield of 26%; Taber<sup>6</sup> developed conditions for conversion of the corresponding carboxamide to this precursor in 61% overall yield.

## 2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We decided to pursue an entirely different route that would avoid hydrolytic routes and their attendant complication of reversion to the starting ketone. Methods for selective reduction of the nitrile function to a formyl group were explored. It was expected that chemoselective

conditions for conversion of this reactive aldehyde to the carboxyl or methoxycarbonyl functions could be found in a straightforward manner (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1




There are many literature methods for conversion of cyano into formyl functions. The requirement is that a two-electron reduction to the imine (hydrolyzed during workup to the aldehyde) occur under conditions such that the product imine is inert. For  $\alpha$ -aminonitriles bearing an amino hydrogen, there is an important competing reaction. Reductive decyanation can occur if this proton is removed, and cyanide ion is expelled producing an intermediate imine that is reduced under the reaction conditions. Stereochemical evidence for such a mechanism was obtained in one of our laboratories using the diastereomers of the 3-methyl derivative of 2 and NaBH<sub>4</sub>, Red-Al, Super-Hydride and L-Selectride.<sup>7</sup>

One approach to avoiding the expulsion of cyanide ion resulting from removal of the anilino proton is to conduct the reduction under acidic conditions. The Stephen reduction using anhydrous tin (II) chloride in the presence of HCl(g) in diethyl ether is such a reaction.<sup>8</sup> This reaction was applied to  $\alpha$ -aminonitrile, 2, without success. Possible reasons for this result are the insolubility of 2 in Et<sub>2</sub>O, and poor chemoselectivity. In an environment of excess HCl, the two amino functions, i. e., the heterocyclic nitrogen and the anilino nitrogen, will be protonated before the cyano site. The addition of HCl across the cyano group is required for formation of the putative imidoyl chloride intermediate.<sup>8,9</sup> The geminal location of an anilinium functionality may

present steric (see Fig. 1 for stereoviews from above and from the direction of the N-C<sub>4</sub> bond) and electronic (i.e., the resistance offered by a proton in adding to a doubly positively charged species) challenges to the SnCl<sub>2</sub>-catalyzed addition of HCl to the nitrile function.

Figure 1. Stereoviews of **2**·2HCl



Successful controlled reduction of nitriles to aldehydes using  $\text{LiAlH}_4$  has been reported.<sup>8</sup> For example, 4-dimethylamino-2,2-diphenylvaleronitrile reacted with 1 equiv. of LAH to provide an 82% yield of 4-(dimethylamino)-2,2-diphenylvaleraldehyde; however, the isomeric 3-(dimethylamino)-2,2-diphenyl-3-methylbutyronitrile gave a yield of only 24% of the corresponding aldehyde.<sup>11</sup> When a solution of **2** was treated with two equivalents of LAH, the starting material was recovered in excellent yield, comprising ca. 95% of the product, along with 1-(2-phenylethyl)-4-phenylaminopiperidine, **4**.

The chemoselective reduction of **2** to the corresponding diaminoaldehyde **3** was accomplished using DIBAL-H (Table 1).

Table 1. Summary of Reaction Conditions for DIBAL-H Reduction of **2**.

Reducing Agent	Reaction Conditions	Hydrolysis and Workup	Yield of <b>3</b>
$\text{CuBH}_4$ ( $\text{CuCl} \cdot \text{LiBH}_4$ )	THF, $-30^\circ\text{C}$ to R.T., 2.5 hrs	IN HCl, $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ extraction	no desired product
$\text{CuBH}_4$ ( $\text{CuBr} \cdot \text{LiBH}_4$ )	THF, $-10^\circ\text{C}$ to R.T., 3 hrs	IN HCl, $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ extraction	no desired product
$\text{LiAlH}_4$	$\text{Et}_2\text{O}$ , $0^\circ\text{C}$ , 30 min.	IN $\text{H}^+$ , $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ extraction	minor on TLC
DIBAL-H (1.2 eq)	Toluene, $-78^\circ\text{C}$ , 3 hrs	$\text{Na/K}$ tartrate, $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ extraction	no reaction
DIBAL-H (2.5 eq)	Toluene, $-78^\circ\text{C}$ , 2 hrs	$\text{Na/K}$ tartrate, $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ extraction	minor on TLC
$\text{Li}(\text{EtO})_2\text{AlH}_2$	$\text{Et}_2\text{O}$ , $0^\circ\text{C}$ , 2.5 hrs	$\text{MeOH}$ , $\text{Na-tartrate}$ , $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ extraction	no reaction
DIBAL-H (2 eq)	56T/MTBE <sup>2</sup> , $-35^\circ\text{C}$ to $0^\circ$	50 % $\text{AcOH}$ , $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ extraction	minor on TLC
DIBAL-H (5 eq)	Toluene, $-78^\circ\text{C}$ , 1.5 hrs	50 % $\text{AcOH}$ , $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ extraction	minor on TLC
DIBAL-H (5 eq)	Toluene, $-78^\circ\text{C}$ , 1.5 hrs	$\text{MeOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$	6 %
DIBAL-H (4 eq)	Toluene, $-78^\circ\text{C}$ , 1.5 hrs	$\text{MeOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$	4 %
DIBAL-H (4.5 eq)	Toluene, $-78^\circ\text{C}$ to reflux	No Workup	4 %
DIBAL-H (10 eq)	Toluene, $-78^\circ\text{C}$ , 3 hrs	$\text{MeOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$	4 %
DIBAL-H (5 eq)	THF, $-78^\circ\text{C}$ to R.T.	$\text{MeOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$	17 %
DIBAL-H (2 eq)	THF, $-78^\circ\text{C}$ to R.T.	$\text{MeOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$	6 %
DIBAL-H (3 eq)	THF, $-78^\circ\text{C}$ to R.T.	$\text{MeOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$	6 %
DIBAL-H (3 eq)	THF, $-78^\circ\text{C}$ to R.T.	$\text{MeOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ , scale up	0.7 %
DIBAL-H (5 eq)	THF, $-78^\circ\text{C}$ to R.T.	$\text{MeOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$	18 %
DIBAL-H (5 eq, precooled)	THF, $-78^\circ\text{C}$ to R.T. (LA <sup>4</sup> )	$\text{MeOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$	18 %
DIBAL-H (5 eq, precooled)	THF, $-78^\circ\text{C}$ to R.T. (LA)	$\text{MeOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ , scale up	minor on TLC
DIBAL-H (5 eq, precooled)	THF, $-78^\circ\text{C}$ to R.T. (LA)	$\text{MeOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ , scale up	5 %
DIBAL-H (5 eq, precooled)	THF, $0^\circ\text{C}$ , 1.5 hrs (LA)	$\text{MeOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$	18 %
DIBAL-H (5 eq, precooled)	THF, $0^\circ\text{C}$ , 75 min. (LA)	$\text{MeOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ , scale up	15 %

1.  $\text{CuBr} \cdot \text{SMe}_2$  was used

2. Prepared from  $\text{LiAlH}_4 + 2\text{EtOH}$

3. Methyl t-butyl ether

4. Inverse Addition

\*reflux

Other hydrides used in this study,  $\text{CuBH}_4$ ,  $\text{Li}(\text{EtO})_2\text{AlH}_2$  and  $\text{LiAlH}_4$  in  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  for short reaction times, were unsatisfactory. The best conditions were the use of 5 equiv of DIBAL-H, THF as solvent and inverse addition. Clearly the need for excess DIBAL-H is due to the fact that there are two Lewis base sites in the molecule that are more reactive than

the cyano function. The Lewis acid center of DIBAL-H complexes with the ring nitrogen electron pair and that of the anilino function before delivery of hydride in the reduction step. Such complexation apparently renders the rate of reduction faster than that of reductive decyanation.

The best yield obtained was 18%, however, it should be emphasized that this is an isolated yield. Additional study of the workup procedure should result in a significant improvement in yield.

### 3. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

$\alpha$ -Aminonitrile, **2**, was prepared as described previously.<sup>4</sup> It could be recrystallized to a constant melting point of 121.0 - 121.5° after dissolution in boiling hexane. Alternatively, the crude product could be purified by passing through a short silica gel column, eluting with ethyl acetate/n-hexane, 60/40: v/v, followed by recrystallization from 10% ethyl acetate in n-hexane (v/v). Diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAL-H, 1.0 M in hexanes) was purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co., Inc. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was distilled from benzophenone ketyl under an Ar atmosphere. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were obtained using a Varian XL-400 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported for CDCl<sub>3</sub> solutions in ppm downfield from the internal standard, tetramethylsilane.

#### 3.1 4-Cyano-4-(phenylamino)-1-(2-phenylethyl)-piperidine

(**2**). <sup>1</sup>H NMR 7.31 - 7.18 (m, 7 H); 6.95 - 6.91 (m, 3 H); 3.65 (bs, 1 H); 2.94 - 2.90 (m, 2 H); 2.83 - 2.79 (m, 2 H); 2.69 - 2.65 (m, 2H); 2.56 - 2.50 (m, 2 H); 2.40 - 2.35 (m, 2 H); 1.98 - 1.92 (m, 2 H); mp = 121.0 - 121.5°.

#### 3.2 1-(2-Phenylethyl)-4-formyl-4-phenylaminopiperidine (**3**).

Into a flame-dried 500 mL round-bottomed flask charged with 60 mL of anhydrous THF was introduced 24.6 mL of DIBAL-H (24.6 mmol) via syringe at 0° C under an Ar atmosphere. Into a neighboring 100 mL flame-dried round-bottomed flask was added 1.50 g (4.92 mmol) of **2** dissolved in 90 mL of anhydrous THF. Upon cooling both solutions to 0° C by means of an ice-water bath, the solution of **2** was cannulated to the DIBAL-H solution over a period of 15 min while both solutions were magnetically stirred under Ar. After stirring the

reaction mixture for 75 min at 0° C, the ice bath was removed and replaced with a dry ice/acetone bath to cool the reaction to - 78° C. Hydrolysis was effected by the dropwise addition of 9.0 mL of MeOH, followed by 9.0 mL of water. After stirring for 10 min at - 78° C, the cooling bath was removed, and the reaction mixture allowed to stir for an additional hour, producing a gel-like material. This product was passed through a column containing 25 g of neutral alumina (Woelm Pharma, Super 1), eluting three times with ethyl acetate. Concentration on the rotary evaporator and then at high vacuum (0.05 mm Hg) afforded 1.35 g of crude product. Chromatography on 20 g of silica gel (EM Science, 240 mesh) with n-hexane/ethyl acetate (60/40 : v/v) gave a total yield of 0.541 g of the desired aldehyde **3** and 1-(2-phenylethyl)-4-phenylaminopiperidine (**4**). Recrystallization of **4** from petroleum ether, b. p. = 35 - 70 °C, produced white crystals of m. p. = 92 - 93 °C. Additional purification by short column chromatography (40 g of silica gel) provided 0.220 g (0.714 mmol, 15 %) of **3**. Recrystallization from n-hexane produced two crops of crystals, totaling 0.103 g:

(m. p.)<sub>1</sub> = 80.5 - 81.0° C: Calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O·3/2 H<sub>2</sub>O: C, 77.21; H, 7.78; N, 9.01. Found: C, 77.11; H, 7.87; N, 9.01.

(m. p.)<sub>2</sub> = 91.0 - 91.5° C: Calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O·1/2 H<sub>2</sub>O: C, 75.67; H, 7.62. Found: C, 75.30; H, 7.78. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 9.63 (s, 1H); 7.30 - 7.13 (m, 7 H); 6.76 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1 H); 6.56 (dd, J = 8.6, 0.9 Hz, 2 H); 3.91 (bs, 1 H); 2.82 - 2.77 (m, 2 H); 2.75 - 2.70 (m, 2 H); 2.63 - 2.59 (m, 2 H); 2.44 - 2.40 (m, 2 H); 2.13 - 2.06 (m, 2 H); 1.93 (m, 2 H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR 144.7, 140.2, 129.4, 128.7, 128.4, 126.1, 118.8, 114.6 (aromatic carbons), 91.4, 60.3, 48.5, 33.7, 29.6. FTIR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 2928, 1730, 1601, 1503, 1260, 1121 cm<sup>-1</sup>. EI nominal mass spec (70 eV) m/z 309 (M<sup>+</sup> + 1, 0.4); 308 (M<sup>+</sup>, 1.7); 209 (M<sup>+</sup> - CHO, 7.0); 217 (M<sup>+</sup> - CH<sub>2</sub>Ph, 100.0). R<sub>f</sub> value (ethyl acetate/hexane : 80/20 : v/v): 0.22.

**3.3 1-(2-Phenylethyl)-4-phenylaminopiperidine (4).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR 7.31 - 7.14 (m, 7 H); 6.70 - 6.67 (m, 1 H); 6.62 - 6.60 (m, 2 H); , 3.53 (bs, 1 H); 3.36 - 3.31 (m, 1 H); 3.00 - 2.97 (m, 2 H); 2.85 - 2.81 (m, 2 H); 2.65 - 2.61 (m, 2 H); 2.26 - 2.20 (m, 2 H); 2.12 - 2.09 (m, 2 H); 1.57 - 1.48 (m, 2 H). m. p. = 92 - 93° C; lit<sup>10</sup> 91 - 93 °C.

**3.4 Reduction of 2 with Lithium Aluminum Hydride (LAH).** Into a 10 mL round bottomed flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar was added 101.6 mg (0.333 mmol) of **2**. The reaction vessel was blanketed with dry nitrogen, and then 5 mL of THF was added, followed by the addition of 7.2 mg

0.76 equiv) of LAH in four portions over a 5 min period. An exothermic reaction occurred, as evidenced by some bubbling. The reaction mixture was fitted with a septum, and stirred under a dry  $N_2$  atmosphere for 19 h. The THF was removed on the rotary evaporator, and 1 mL of  $H_2O$ , 1 mL of 15% NaOH solution and 3 mL of  $H_2O$  were added dropwise and sequentially to the residue. The mixture was then extracted with two 15 mL portions of  $CHCl_3$ , dried over  $Na_2SO_4$  and concentrated first on the rotary evaporator and then at high vacuum to provide 109.7 mg of product. TLC and spectral analysis established that the product was ca. 95% **2**, the remainder consisting of **4**.

### 3.5 Reaction of **2** Under Stephen Reduction Conditions.

Anhydrous  $SnCl_2$  was prepared by the portionwise addition of 11.5 g of  $SnCl_2 \cdot 2 H_2O$  to 10.0 mL of magnetically stirred acetic anhydride.<sup>8</sup> The product precipitated from the exothermic reaction mixture and after cooling to room temperature, the liquid was removed by decantation and the precipitate was washed twice with 20 mL portions of anhydrous diethyl ether, and collected by filtration in virtually quantitative yield.

Hydrogen chloride was bubbled for 1-2 min into a 125 mL Erlenmeyer flask containing 255 mg (0.984 mmol) of anhydrous  $SnCl_2$  suspended in 5 mL of anhydrous diethyl ether. A solution of 100 mg (0.328 mmol) of **2** in 2 mL of  $CHCl_3$  was added in one portion to the magnetically stirred reaction flask. After 2 d of stirring, the reaction mixture was washed with 30 mL of  $CHCl_3$  and filtered. A gummy material amounting to 0.03 g after drying was removed, leaving 0.14 g of a white solid. The white solid was boiled for 1 h with 0.03 M HCl, and upon cooling, extracted with  $CHCl_3$ . Concentration of the dried ( $Na_2SO_4$ )  $CHCl_3$  solution gave 10 mg of residue, which was tentatively identified as unreacted **2** by TLC.

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

A number of methods for chemoselective transformation of the cyano functionality of **2** into a formyl group have been explored. It was found that the most promising method was reduction using diisobutylaluminum hydride and inverse addition. While the overall isolated yield of the reaction is 18%, conditions for the reaction, but not workup were optimized. It is likely that improved workup will result in palpable improvement in yield.



## LITERATURE CITED

1. Janssen, P.A.J.; Garedocki.; "Method for Producing Analgesia," U.S. Patent 3,141,823, 1964.
2. Van Daele, P.G.H.; De Bryn, M.F.L.; Boey, J.M.; Sanczuk, S.; Agten, J.T.M.; Janssen, P.A.J., "Synthetic Analgesics: N-[1-(2-Arylethyl)-4-substituted 4-Piperidinyl]-N-Arylalkanamides," *Arzneim Forsch.*, Vol. 26, pp 1521 - 1531 (1976).
3. Bagley, J.R.; Kudzma, L.V.; Lalinde, N.L.; Colapret, J.A.; Huang, B-S.; Lin, B-S.; Jurussi, T.P.; Benvenga, M.J.; Doorley, B.M.; Ossipov, M.H.; Spaulding, T.C. Spencer, H.K., "Evolution of the 4-Anilidopiperidine Class of Opioid Analgesics," *Med. Res. Rev.*, Vol. 11, pp 403 - 436 (1991).
4. Banks, H.D.; Von Ostwalden, P.W.; Hsu, F-L.; "An Improved Synthesis of 4-Anilinopiperidines," *Proceedings of the 1988 U.S. Army Chemical Research, Development and Engineering Center Scientific Conference on Chemical Defense Research*, pp 775 - 780 (1988).
5. Feldman, P.L.; Bracheen, M.F.; "A Novel Route to the 4-Anilido-4(methoxycarbonyl)piperidine Class of Analgetics," *J. Org. Chem.*, Vol. 55, pp 4207 - 4209 (1990).
6. Taber, D.F.; Rahimizadeh, M.; "Amide to Ester Conversion: A Practical Route to the Carfentanil Class of Analgetics," *J. Org. Chem.*, Vol. 57, pp 4037 - 4038 (1992).
7. Von Ostwalden, P.W.; Hsu, F-L.; Banks, H.D.; Ferguson, C.P.; "Studies on the Stereoselective Synthesis of *cis*-3-Methylfentanyl," *Technical Report, CRDEC-TR-038* (1989).
8. Mosettig, E.; "Synthesis of Aldehydes from Carboxylic Acids," *Org. React.*, Vol. 8, pp 218 - 157 (1954).
9. Bonnett, R.; "Imidoyl Halides" Patai, S., ED. in *The Chemistry of the Carbon Nitrogen Double Bond*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, pp 597- 661.
10. Janssen, P.A.J.; "1-Aralkyl-4-(N-aryl-carbonyl Amino)-Piperidines and Related Compounds," U. S. Patent 3,164,600, 1965.
11. Yandik, M.;Larsen, A.A.; "The Preparation of Some Dialkylaminoaldehydes", *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, Vol. 73, pp 3534 - (1951).